

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,509	05/18/2005	Aleardo Koverech	4865-47	7229
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 08/20/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			BETTON, TIMOTHY E	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/535,509	KOVERECH ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1617			

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TIMOTHY E. BETTON	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 and fact SIX (6) MONTH's from the making date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the sort or extended period for reply will. by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing agency factor term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	ay 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
, — . , , ,		Evaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be need in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<u> </u>							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	utom rup noauon					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080807

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants Remarks filed on 15 May 2008 have been filed and duly made of record.

Examiner also acknowledges the submission of the evidentiary declaration, submitted under rule 1.132 by Dr. A. Koverech.

Applicants' argue the examiner's reasoning and logic for the construction of the 103 (a) obvious rejection employs hindsight reasoning. Additionally, applicants purport that [t]he combination of all five of these patents do not render obvious the claimed invention because all limitations of independent claim 10 are not fairly taught or suggested in the cited patents.

Moreover, claims depending from this independent claim are also alleged not to be obvious because the limitations of the independent claim are necessarily incorporated in their dependent claims.

Specifically, applicants assert the combination of references used do not adequately arrive at the claimed inventive objective. Applicants further assert that the syndrome is related to aging but it is specifically due to an organic process and for this reason cannot be confused with oldness.

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered, And although the examiner agrees with applicant's comment regarding the correlation between andropause and oldness, the arguments are not found to be convincingly persuasive.

The limitations of instant claim 10 are adequately encompassed and made obvious by the references cited. See specifically claim 1 of the DeSimone 6,037,373 patent, which teaches the administration of at least one (meaning one or more) of a combination of active agents which

Art Unit: 1617

include L-acetyl-carnitine and L-propionyl-carnitine and teaches the administration of salts of said compounds.

Andropause is frequently seen in aging subjects. Xui provides the obvious reasoning in view of the disorders or disease states as claimed by teaching the enhancement of sexuability and improved sexual performance.

Applicants' attention is directed to the specification (pg. 5, 1st paragraph). Applicant cites that andropause is caused by the progressive decrease in androgen production [...]. This is known in the art to occur due to aging. Applicants' further assertion that it is specifically due to an organic process. However, this has not been elucidated in view of the current claim set.

For the reasons already made of record, the 103(a) rejection is maintained.

Accordingly, the declaration is replete with evidentiary experimentation models drawn to erectile dysfunction. The contents of the declaration do not, however, adequately support what applicant is claiming in view of treatment for *all disease states* as disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1617

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cavazza (USPN 4,474,812) (hereinafter Cavazza (*812)) and Cavazza (USPN 6,245,378) (hereinafter Cavazza (*378)) and De Felice (USPN 3,830,931 in view of De Simone (USPN 6,037, 373) and Xiu (USPN 6,399,116 B1).

Cavazza ('812) teaches a novel therapeutic use of L-carnitine and a pharmaceutical Lcarnitine-comprising composition [...], whose oral or parenteral administration to elderly subjects brings about an improvement in the biochemical and behavioral parameters peculiar to senility (abstract only).

Cavazza ('812) teaches an embodiment drawn to L- carnitine which teaches the inventive objective of the claimed invention. Though Cavazza teaches embodiments drawn to treatment for senility in association with old-age, Cavazza teaches embodiments which to the skilled artisan would make instant claims 10-18 obvious.

Specifically, Cavazza teaches uses of L-carnitine [which] are already known. For instance, L-carnitine has been used in the cardiovascular field in the treatment of acute and chronic myocardial ischaemia, angina pectoris, cardiac arrhythmias and insufficiency. In nephrology, L-carnitine has been administered to chronic uraemic patients who are subjected to regular haemodialysis treatment with a view to counteracting muscular asthenia and the onset of muscular cramps. Further therapeutic uses are the restoration of the HDL/LDL+VLDL ratio to normal and in total parenteral nutrition.

It is, therefore, unexpected and surprising that, by orally or parenterally administering Lcarnitine to elderly subjects, an improvement in the biochemical and behavioral parameters peculiar to senility, is brought about.

Art Unit: 1617

Although the daily dose to be administered depends on the age, weight and general condition of the elderly subject, utilizing sound professional judgment, it has been found that, generally, from about 10 to about 30 mg of L-carnitine/kg of body weight/day or an equivalent amount of a pharmacologically acceptable salt thereof, is a suitable dose.

L-carnitine is compounded into the pharmaceutical compositions by using the usual excipients, diluents and adjuvant agents which are well-known in pharmaceutical technology for preparing orally and parenterally administrable compositions. An extensive list of such excipients and adjuvant agents as well as the methods for preparing solid and liquid oral unit dosage forms such as tablets, capsules, solutions, syrups and the like and fluid injectable forms such as sterile solutions, is disclosed in the U.S. Pat. No. 3,830,931 to De Felice.

It has also been found that a pharmaceutical composition in unit dosage form which is particularly suited for the foregoing therapeutic uses comprises from about 500 to about 1,000 mg of L-carnitine.

Several experiments were carried out, some of which are here in below described. The relevant results are also indicated.

Cavazza ('812) additionally teach the study of the effects of L-carnitine administration on some biochemical and behavioural parameters in old male rats.

Accordingly, Cavazza ('378) teaches a nutritional supplement for facilitating the adaptation of skeletal muscle in individuals undergoing programs of strenuous exercise and counteracting defatigation and weariness in asthenic individuals is disclosed, which comprises a combination of L-camitine, acetyl L-camitine and propionyl L-carnitine as basic active

Art Unit: 1617

ingredients. Optional ingredients comprise isovalery! L-carnitine, branched-chained aminoacids and creatine and/or phosphocreatine (abstract only).

Cavazza ('378) teaches an extensive embodiment of the indications for L-carnitine (column 2, lines 1-67).

Cavazza (*378) teaches mixtures, combinations and ratio strengths of acetyl and propionyl L-carnitine formulations which encompass the limitations of the instant claims (column 3, lines 64-67; column 4, lines 1-20).

The skilled artisan would instantly recognize that many of the disorders and disease states are conditions common among geriatric patients.

De Felice further confirms a well-established use of l-carnitine and carnitine derivatives among geriatric patients (please see Cases 1-12, columns 4-8).

The Cavazza references and De Felice do not specifically teach treatments for hormone disorders comprising andropause.

However, De Simone does teach L-acetyl carnitine and L-propionyl carnitine for the treatment of diseases that are related to the ageing subject (e.g. arthritis, asthenia, osteoporosis, etc) (abstract only).

De Simone also teaches an embodiment directed to specific salts of the carnitine formulation (column 2, lines 8-16).

None of the references above directly teach a formulation for the administration of decreased testosterone or a decreased libido.

However, Xiu does teach Rhodiola, preferably Rhodiola crenulata, to treat various conditions and diseases in mammals. Rhodiola crenulata is a Tibetan herb which has been

Art Unit: 1617

discovered to have highly useful and beneficial properties heretofore unknown. Rhodiola crenulata is especially preferred to enhance blood oxygen levels, to enhance working capacity and endurance, to enhance memory and concentration, to enhance cardiac and cardiovascular function, to provide antioxidant effects, to protect against oxidation, to modulate testosterone and estradiol levels, to modulate sleep, and to enhance sexuability, such as improve sexual performance.

Xiu teaches a preferred embodiment drawn to the administration of carnitines in combination with Rhodiola crenulata. This adequately encompasses the inventive objective and subject matter limitation disclosed in instant claim 1. Particularly, instant claim 1 cites a method for the treatment of disorders caused by andropause *comprising* administering [...].

Specifically, Xiu teach andropause as disorder indicated for treatment.

Testosterone is considered to be a male virilizing hormone. Its effects include maintenance of muscle and bone mass, improving and/or enhancing sexual function and psychological well being among others. As males grow older, especially after the age of 35, a slow decline in testosterone levels is observed which is accompanied by symptoms that have been associated with the condition known as "andropause". Symptoms of andropause include lethargy, depression, lack of sexual desire and function, and loss of muscle mass and strength. Increasing testosterone levels therefore can be useful to treat any of the mentioned conditions. Additionally, increasing testosterone levels can be useful in treating certain types of breast cancer in women (column 4, lines 55-67).

Art Unit: 1617

Thus, it would have been prima facie obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of invention to incorporate with or combine together the methods and teachings of Cavazza, De Felice. De Simone and Xiu.

The Cavazza references teach methods and intended uses for L-carnitines and derivatives thereof. Cavazza (*812) specifically teaches the study of the effects of L-carnitine administration on some biochemical and behavioral parameters in old male rats. The inventive objective in view of the claimed invention is drawn to elderly male subjects. De Felice and De Simone provide the primary motivation to combine via the administration of L-carnitine for an array of diseases associated with ageing. Accordingly, Xui teaches embodiments of andropause being treated preferably by L-carnitines, L-carnitine mixtures, and compositions thereof.

In consideration of the above, the skilled artisan would instantly recognize motivation in the incorporating together of the references as explained in obviousness over claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treatment for erectile dysfunction, does not reasonably provide enablement for treatment of all disorders caused by andropause. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/535,509

Art Unit: 1617

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue." These factors include, but are not limited to:

- (A) The breadth of the claims;
- (B) The nature of the invention;
- (C) The state of the prior art;
- (D) The level of one of ordinary skill;
- (E) The level of predictability in the art;
- (F) The amount of direction provided by the inventor;
- (G) The existence of working examples; and
- (H) The quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The central issue of the scope of enablement is drawn to several factors as outlined above. Specifically, the state of the prior art, nature of the invention, and the quantity of experimentation are of a certain significance in view of the inventive objective of claimed invention.

The state of the art is addressed by Tan, Robert State of the Art Anti-Aging Practice:

Diagnostic Difficulties of the Andropause (copyright 2003), Associate Professor, Department of

Family Medicine at the University of Texas/ Houston, pp. 473–482, (abstract, page 473) [that]

Even before contemplating hormonal replacement for men, practitioners should be certain of a diagnosis of low testosterone causing andropause-related symptoms. This is partly because accurate diagnosis may be confounded due to factors such as clinical depression, hypothyroidism, anemia, alcoholism, psychological states, and side effects of medications.

There is undeniable evidence that bioavailable testosterone declines with age. However, there are variations in testosterone measures based on timing and method used for quantification,

Art Unit: 1617

and this will be explored in this chapter. There are limitations of blood and saliva measurements of testosterone, and andropause should be diagnosed only in conjunction with a clinical assessment, as it is a complex syndrome.

The decline of testosterone leads to hypogonadism, which can present with symptoms in older men. However, like in the menopause, not all older men present with transitional symptoms as they enter the andropause. The long-term effects of andropause in men may be similar to menopause, as decline in androgens can affect libido, musculoskeletal, cardiac, and cognitive functions. In addition, in contrast to menopause, men in andropause do not necessarily suffer from infertility as sperm production continues. The evidence of the impact of low testosterone on the aging male's physiology will be examined. Androgen supplementation in the form of testosterone may be effective for some individuals who are symptomatic. At the present time, is no routine recommendation for androgen replacement in all males. The practitioner should select his patient carefully based on symptoms and biochemical evidence of hypogonadism. Monitoring of side effects of androgens, including changes in prostate, hemoglobin levels, etc., is mandatory (abstract only).

Thus, Tan cites evidence that the state of the art with regard to andropause is developing which causes the scope of applicants invention to be unclear in view of what the applicant is claiming based on treatment for all symptoms of andropause.

Accordingly, the nature of the invention which is drawn from the state of the art possesses a scope of enablement when the invention (the method of treatment) sufficiently supports and suggests that there was reasonable possession of the claimed invention. The declaration does not support possession for all that the applicants claim. The nature of the art

Art Unit: 1617

necessitates incorporation of some form of correlative data in conjunction with related guidance and direction as to what gives the invention a clear scope of enablement.

Further, the quantity of experimentation needed to use the invention based on the content of the disclosure is deficient. Experimentation is drawn to correlative and cumulative data drawn to erectile function disorders but no where in the declaration does it provide support for all the disorder limitations of claim 10. On the face of Tan reference *supra* in view of applicants' declaration, it would not be apparent to one of ordinary skill that all such disorders caused by andropause may be treated. Further it would not be apparent to the one of skill that the applicant had possession of the invention based on the deficiency in the declaration to resolve all disclosed limitations of claim 10.

Thus, unpredictability is high. It's relatedness to a lack of sufficient and relevant quantified experimentation is found in the current invention. Applicants disclose nothing to the contrary to reasonably rebut evidentiary disclosure of Tan. Even in the alternate, in which case Tan is superceded by evidence to points to an improved state of the art, the scope of applicants' scope of enablement is still deficient.

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy E. Betton whose telephone number is (571) 272-9922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30a - 5:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James O. Wilson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624

TEB

Art Unit: 1617